



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

AP	PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
	10/081,599	02/20/2002	Cornelia Anna Mundt	GJE-87	9658	
	23557	7590 04/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		CHIK LLOYD & SALIV CONAL ASSOCIATION	QIAN, CELINE X			
	PO BOX 142			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	GAINESVIL	LE, FL 32614-2950	1636			

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/081,599	MUNDT ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Celine X. Qian Ph.D.	1636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 21 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application,							
applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extensio final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	·	. ,					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c)☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		42					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	•						
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will w	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 15</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		1-4: £ A 1 : 11	- 4 lo 4				
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n ot the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)					
13. Other:							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues that require further consideration. For example, claims 4 and 15 recites artificial chromosomes comprising "repeat sequences" and "C delta and C gamma 3." However, the amended claim 1, which claim 4 and 15 depend on, is drawn to an artificial chromosome comprising a specific sequence 5885-7185 between C delta and C gamma 3 region, this region does not comprise locus C delta and C gamma 3, and/or repeats described in the specification. Thus, this amendment raises new 112 2nd issues, and it will not be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment raises new issues as discussed above. As such, it does not place the application in condition for allowance. However, such amendment would overcome the written description rejection of the record if entered.

CELIAN QIAN-PATENT EXAMINER